

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

ESTEBAN RIOS SANCHEZ,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
STATE OF NEVADA, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. 2:24-cv-00107-RFB-MDC

**ORDER**

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 4) of the Honorable Maximiliano D. Couvillier, III, United States Magistrate Judge, entered on March 15, 2024. A district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct “any review,” de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by March 29, 2024. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with all of the Magistrate Judge’s recommendations.

111

111

1           **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 4) is  
2 ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.

3           **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that this case be **DISMISSED** without prejudice.

4           The Clerk of Court is instructed to close this matter accordingly.  
5

6           **DATED:** April 15, 2024



---

7           **RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II**  
8           **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28